Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

Based on the root cause analysis and needs assessment conducted during the Planning Year (2020–21), Early Literacy Support Block (ELSB) Grant participating local educational agencies (LEAs) developed three-year literacy action plans that include goals and actions to improve literacy instruction at each eligible school. The literacy action plans identify metrics to measure progress toward the goals and planned expenditures, which fund supplemental activities targeted for kindergarten and grades one to three, inclusive.

On an annual basis, each LEA with an eligible school shall submit to the California Department of Education, the school site council at each eligible school, and the governing board or body of the LEA a report on achievement towards the actions and goals described, and an assessment of progress made on the metrics identified, in its literacy action plan. These reports shall also be publicly posted on the LEA's website.

For Implementation Year 1 (2021–22), the LEA Program Lead shall complete the template below for each eligible participating school and submit this form to ELSBGrant@cde.ca.gov between **June 30**, **2022** and **July 31**, **2022**.

LEA Name: Ballington Academy for the Arts & Sciences- San Bernardino Program Lead: Shannon Brandner Email/Phone: sbrandner@voa-swecal.org 909-332-6699 Fiscal Lead: Doreen Mulz Email/Phone: dmulz@voa-swcal.org 909-332-6699 Eligible Participating School(s) – select box next to the site for which this report applies: \Box 6. Select to enter text. ☐ 1. Select to enter text. Ballington Academy for the Arts & Sciences- San Bernardino \square 2. Select to enter text. \Box 7. Select to enter text. \square 3. Select to enter text. \square 8. Select to enter text. \Box 4. Select to enter text. \square 9. Select to enter text. \square 10. Select to enter text. \Box 5. Select to enter text.

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

Supporting Agency or Agencies: (i.e. ELSB Grant Expert Lead in Literacy, local county office of education, etc.): Sacramento County Office of Education.

LEA URL for public posting of ELSB Grant Reports:

https://ballingtonacademy.org/policy-documents/

NOTE: Please indicate N/A in all sections that do not apply.

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

1. In review, please complete the chart below to specify the practices and unmet needs, identified when conducting the root cause analysis, of having the highest percentage of pupils in grade three scoring at the lowest achievement standard level, also referred to as the Level 1 achievement level, on the consortium summative assessment in English language arts (ELA). [SB98 Sec113 (b)(d2A)]

2020–2021	LEA Practices	LEA Unmet Needs	School-Level Practices	School-Level Unmet Needs
	The school	Given the high	The school	Given the high
	implemented Trauma	percentage of students	implemented Trauma	percentage of students
	Informed Practices as	at the school who have	Informed Practices as	at the school who have
	an intentional decision	experienced trauma,	an intentional decision	experienced trauma,
School climate	to meet the immediate	coupled with the	to meet the immediate	coupled with the
Scrioor climate	needs of the student	pandemic, the school	needs of the student	pandemic, the school
	population. At times,	often needed to focus	population. At times,	often needed to focus
	this equated to strictly	on social emotional	this equated to strictly	on social emotional
	social/emotional	health before rigorous	social/emotional	health before rigorous
	support.	academic practices.	support.	academic practices.
	Social Emotional	There was a need to	Social Emotional	There was a need to
	Learning was an	connect social	Learning was an	connect social
	intentional focus during	emotional and trauma	intentional focus during	emotional and trauma
Social-emotional	remote learning. Staff	informed practices to	remote learning. Staff	informed practices to
learning	continued to use	rigorous academic	continued to use	rigorous academic
learning	Trauma Informed	instruction.	Trauma Informed	instruction.
	Practices to support		Practices to support	
	students throughout		students throughout	
	the pandemic.		the pandemic	
	Most students struggled	Most K-3 students	Most students struggled	Most K-3 students were
Experience of punils	to access grade level	were not developing	to access grade level	not developing
Experience of pupils below grade-level	curriculum and	phonemic awareness,	curriculum and	phonemic awareness,
Delow grade-level	experienced	becoming proficient	experienced	becoming proficient

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

standard on the ELA	inconsistent	readers in English or	inconsistent	readers in English or
content standards	instructional practices.	Spanish, and most	instructional practices.	Spanish, and most
		English Learners were		English Learners were
		behind their peers in		behind their peers in
		acquiring reading skills.		acquiring reading skills.
	School demographics	During the pandemic,	School demographics	During the pandemic, in
	reflect a large number	in addition to	reflect a large number	addition to academic
	of parents/guardians	academic instruction,	of parents/guardians	instruction, the school
	that did not graduate	the school focused on	that did not graduate	focused on the social
	from high school. The	the social emotional	from high school. The	emotional health of
	school saw a very high	health of both	school saw a very high	both students and
Experience of families	percentage of chronic	students and families.	percentage of chronic	families. This included,
of pupils below	absenteeism and	This included, but was	absenteeism and	but was not limited to,
grade-level standard	tardiness. A high	not limited to, food	tardiness. A high	food distribution,
on the ELA content	percentage of families	distribution, internet	percentage of families	internet access, medical
standards	experienced food	access, medical	experienced food	resources, and mental
	and/or job insecurity,	resources, and mental	and/or job insecurity,	health resources for
	lack of medical care,	health resources for	lack of medical care,	students and families.
	lack of stable housing,	students and families.	lack of stable housing,	
	and other		and other	
	trauma-inducing		trauma-inducing	
	circumstances.		circumstances.	

2. In review, please complete the chart below to specify the previously identified areas of strength and weakness of both the eligible school and the LEA with regard to literacy instruction in kindergarten and grades one to three, inclusive. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(d2B)]

2020–2021	LEA Strength	LEA Weakness	School-Level Strength	School-Level Weakness
-----------	--------------	--------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

		Assessments were		Assessments were
	NWEA/MAP Mean RIT growth in Reading, Winter to Spring	given during remote learning. It is vitally important to recognize that the school does not	NWEA/MAP Mean RIT growth in Reading, Winter to Spring	given during remote learning. It is vitally important to recognize that the school does not consider this data
Pupil performance	K +3	consider this data valid, as	K +3	valid, as approximately 80% of
data in ELA	1 +2	approximately 80% of students participated	1 +2	students participated in testing events, and
	2 +2	in testing events, and	2 +2	students had varying
	3 +5	students had varying levels of adult	3 +5	levels of adult assistance at home
		assistance at home while testing.		while testing.
Data on effective practices (reference previous chart)	Instructor observations and evaluations reflect that instructors who demonstrated buy-in to school initiatives (Kagan, AVID, and ELSB training) produced the highest amount of growth.	The school has a responsibility to ensure all instructors embrace curricular and instructional practices.	Instructor observations and evaluations reflect that instructors who demonstrated buy-in to school initiatives (Kagan, AVID, and ELSB training) produced the highest amount of growth.	The school has a responsibility to ensure all instructors embrace curricular and instructional practices.
Data on ineffective practices (reference previous chart)	Coaching sessions and evaluations reflect staff members are open to feedback and anxious to implement newly	Instructor observations and evaluations demonstrate a lack of complete fidelity to school-wide	Coaching sessions and evaluations reflect staff members are open to feedback and anxious to implement newly	Instructor observations and evaluations demonstrate a lack of complete fidelity to school-wide

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

the 21-22 school year. English Learners and Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged students did not make equitable gains when compared to EL students or the 21-22 school year. English Learners and Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged students did not make equitable gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students who are socio-economically disabilities disabilities disabilities that outpaced their non-UPP peers. English Learners and Students who are socio-economically disabilities disabilities that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students who are socio-economically disabilities disabilities that outpaced their non-UPP peers.	learned practices for	instructional practices	learned practices for	instructional practices
Equity and performance gaps Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students with disadvantaged disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students with disadvantaged disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students with disadvantaged disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers. Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers.	the 21-22 school year.	and curriculum.	the 21-22 school year.	and curriculum.
students with disabilities disabilities	 English Learners and Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their	Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged students did not make equitable gains when compared to EL students or students with	English Learners and Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their	Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged students did not make equitable gains when compared to EL students or students

3. Based on the root cause analysis and needs assessment, identify the action items and metrics described in the literacy action plan for each eligible participating site to address access to *high-quality teaching*. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(e1A-D)]

2021–2022	Specific Goal	Specific Action	Metrics for Assessment Towards Achievement of the Goal	Rationale for Positive/Negative Outcome
Hiring of literacy coaches or instructional aides to provide support to struggling pupils, including, among others, bilingual reading specialists to support English learner programs.	We will improve in instructional literacy delivery and classroom management through consistent coaching, intervention and support by the hiring of a Literacy Coach, as measured by supplemental curriculum	The school hired a full time Interventionist, who provides direct instruction to identified at-risk students in Grades K-3, and provides Literacy Coaching to classroom instructors.	DibelsMAPSTAR	Targeted Instruction by the Interventionist, coupled with the high-quality supplemental curriculum, yielded positive outcomes towards the identified goal, as evidenced by MAP, STAR, and Dibels data as well as

	assessment, SIPPS assessments, student discipline and/or literacy achievement using MAP scores			classroom formative and summative assessments.
	data by June 30, 2023. The literacy coach will provide consistent coaching to the teachers, help with intervention through a push-in model to increase teacher capacity and support for the implementation of the supplemental curriculum and assessment plan. We expect to see a minimum of an annual growth of 15% in proficiency, resulting in 50% of our students demonstrating proficiency on state tests by the end of the 2022-2023 school year.			
Development of	No action	No action .	The development of culturally responsive	Student surveys reflect connectedness
strategies to provide culturally responsive			curriculum and instruction is	to school, classrooms,

Evidence-based professional development for teachers, instructional aides, and school leaders regarding literacy instruction and literacy achievement and the use of data to help identify and support struggling	In order to improve phonemic awareness, phonics and fluency; we will choose and purchase a supplemental literacy curriculum with embedded assessments and ELD, by committee choice, as measured by invoices by July 31, 2021 and targeted Professional Development provided by the publisher by August 31, 2021, to	Professional Development occurred in August 2021, November 2021, and February 2022.	embedded in the supplemental curriculum and professional development. Literacy Professional Development Calendar Exit Surveys Staff surveys report that teachers are confident in delivery Literacy content both from the core and new supplemental	peers, and the curriculum. Timely professional development gave the staff the needed skills and confidence to implement effective literacy instruction, and use data to inform their instruction.
Professional development for teachers and school leaders regarding implementation of the curriculum framework for ELA/English Language Development (ELD) adopted by the State Board of Education (SBE) pursuant to Section 60207 of the	ensure complete fidelity by November 1, 2021. In order to improve phonemic awareness, phonics and fluency; we will choose and purchase a supplemental literacy curriculum with embedded assessments and ELD, by committee choice, as measured by invoices by July 31, 2021 and targeted Professional Development provided by the publisher by August 31, 2021, to ensure complete fidelity by November 1, 2021	Professional Development occurred in August 2021, November 2021, and February 2022.	HMH Invoices Teacher Observations Professional Development Calendar	Timely professional development gave the staff the needed skills to demonstrate fidelity to the supplemental curriculum and school practices.

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

Education Code and		
the use of data to		
support effective		
instruction		

4. Based on the root cause analysis and needs assessment, identify the action items and metrics described in the literacy action plan for each eligible participating site to address *support for literacy learning*. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(e2A-B)]

2021–2022	Specific Goal	Specific Action	Metrics for Assessment Towards Achievement of the Goal	Rationale for Positive/Negative Outcome
Purchase of literacy curriculum resources and instructional materials aligned with the ELA content standards and the curriculum framework for ELA/ELD adopted by the SBE, but only if the literacy action plan also includes professional development for staff on effective use of these materials	In order to improve phonemic awareness, phonics and fluency; we will choose and purchase a supplemental literacy curriculum with embedded assessments and ELD, by committee choice, as measured by invoices by July 31, 2021 and targeted Professional Development provided by the publisher by August 31, 2021, to ensure complete fidelity by November 1, 2021	The school purchased Houghton Mifflin Into Reading! and Zoo Phonics as supplemental curriculums. Classroom instructors received dedication professional development in both curriculums in August 2021, October 2021, November 2021, February 2022 and May 2022.	Invoices to Houghton Mifflin Harcout Invoices to Zoo Phonics Professional Development Calendar	Timely professional development gave the staff the needed skills and confidence to implement effective literacy instruction, and use data to inform their instruction. Both programs were purchased in full and implemented throughout the school year.
	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22 (REV. 04/2022)

Purchase of diagnostic assessment instruments to help assess pupil needs		
•		

5. Based on the root cause analysis and needs assessment, identify the action items and metrics described in the literacy action plan for each eligible participating site to address *pupil supports*. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(e3A-E)]

2021–2022	Specific Goal	Specific Action	Metrics for Assessment Towards Achievement of the Goal	Rationale for Positive/Negative Outcome
Expanded learning programs, such as before- and after-school programs or summer school, to improve pupils' access to literacy instruction	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable
Extended school day to enable	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable

implementation of breakfast in the classroom or library models to support expanded literacy instruction				
Strategies to improve school climate, pupil connectedness, and attendance and to reduce exclusionary discipline practices, including in-school suspensions, that may limit a pupil's time in school	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable
Strategies to implement research-based social-emotional learning approaches, including restorative justice	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable
Expanded access to the school library	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

4		
4		
4		
4		
4		

6. Based on the root cause analysis and needs assessment, identify the action items and metrics described in the literacy action plan for each eligible participating site to address *family supports*. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(e4A-E)]

2021–2022	Specific Goal	Specific Action	Metrics for Assessment Towards Achievement of the Goal	Rationale for Positive/Negative Outcome	
Development of trauma-informed practices and supports for pupils and families	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Provision of mental health resources to support pupil learning	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Strategies to implement multi-tiered systems of support and the response to intervention approach	No action	No Action	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Development of literacy training and	No Action	No Action	Not applicable	Not applicable	

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

education for parents to help develop a supportive literacy environment in the home				
Strategies to improve parent and community engagement and to improve communication with parents regarding how to address pupils' literacy needs	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable

7. Following the first year of implementation, please reassess the needs by completing the chart below to specify the current practices and unmet needs identified for addressing the root cause of having the highest percentage of pupils in grade three scoring at the lowest achievement standard level, also referred to as the Level 1 achievement level, on the consortium summative assessment in ELA. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(d2A)]

2021–2022	LEA Practices	LEA Unmet Needs	School-Level Practices	School-Level Unmet Needs
School climate	Given the high quality professional development available to instructors this year, the school provided a rigorous academic	Students can continue to practice growth mindset by recognizing their own academic growth, even when it does not hit targets.	Given the high quality professional development available to instructors this year, the school provided a rigorous academic	Students can continue to practice growth mindset by recognizing their own academic growth, even when it does not hit targets.

Social-emotional learning	environment coupled with supportive, trauma-informed practices that addressed post-pandemic traumas and learning loss. Teachers continued to model healthy social emotional practices while implementing new SEL curriculum (outside of ELSB). In addition, the agency continued a partnership with local mental health service providers.	Staff can continue to work towards modeling strategies to address difficult situations, especially during stressful times. During the 21-22 school year, a high percentage of students experienced grief	environment coupled with supportive, trauma-informed practices that addressed post-pandemic traumas and learning loss. Teachers continued to model healthy social emotional practices while implementing new SEL curriculum (outside of ELSB). In addition, the agency continued a partnership with local mental health service providers.	Staff can continue to work towards modeling strategies to address difficult situations, especially during stressful times. During the 21-22 school year, a high percentage of students experienced grief and environmental instability
		and environmental instability.		instability.
Experience of pupils below grade-level standard on the ELA content standards	Virtually all students entered the school year performing below grade level as a result of pandemic-related learning loss. The few students who did not make significant	Stakeholders would like to see larger academic gains as students recover from pandemic related learning loss.Students not making these gains can benefit from Tier	Virtually all students entered the school year performing below grade level as a result of pandemic-related learning loss. The few students who did not make significant	Stakeholders would like to see larger academic gains as students recover from pandemic related learning loss. Students not making these gains can benefit from

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

	academic growth were provided with 1:1/small group intervention, Tier I and II intervention strategies, indivdualized learning plans, and began the MTSS/SST process	III intervention strategies.	academic growth were provided with 1:1/small group intervention, Tier I and II intervention strategies, indivdualized learning plans, and began the MTSS/SST process	Tier III intervention strategies.
Families of pupils below grade-level standard on the ELA content standards	The LEA provides clear and consistent communication to student caregivers regarding academic growth, areas of strength, and areas of need. The agency works with individual families to connect them with local resources such as food, medical care, or mental health services.	Demographic data has shown a correlation between lower academic growth and socio-economic status. Needs continue to include food stability, consistent attendance, and quality medical care.	The LEA provides clear and consistent communication to student caregivers regarding academic growth, areas of strength, and areas of need. The agency works with individual families to connect them with local resources such as food, medical care, or mental health services.	Demographic data has shown a correlation between lower academic growth and socio-economic status. Needs continue to include food stability, consistent attendance, and quality medical care.

8. Following the first year of implementation, please reassess the needs by completing the chart below to specify the current areas of strength and weakness of both the eligible school and the LEA with regard to literacy instruction in kindergarten and grades one to three, inclusive. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(d2B)]

2021–2022	LEA Strength	LEA Weakness	School-Level Strength	School-Level Weakness
-----------	--------------	--------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

Pupil performance data in ELA	K 2	NWEA/MA P Mean RIT growth in Reading, Fall to Spring (Winter to Spring for Kinder) +4 +34 +12	Data in the chart to the left shows that Kindergarten and 3rd grade students, while still making progress, did not make gains that matched the level of their peers in other grades. It is vitally important to recognize that the school does not believe this data is reliable, as all assessments were done during remote learning and students received varying level of support from adults.	K 1 2 3	NWEA/MA P Mean RIT growth in Reading, Fall to Spring (Winter to Spring for Kinder) +4 +34 +12 +6	Data in the chart to the left shows that Kindergarten and 3rd grade students, while still making progress, did not make gains that matched the level of their peers in other grades. It is vitally important to recognize that the school does not believe this data is reliable, as all assessments were done during remote learning and students received varying level of support from adults.
Data on effective practices (reference previous chart) Professional Develoment sessions, classroom observations and coaching sessions reflect fidelity to the supplemental curriculum. Individual data shows students receiving 1:1		Classroom observation matrixes demonstrate a correlation between teachers not using robust practices learned in PD with smaller academic gains than their peers.	Professional Develomer classroom observation coaching supplement curriculum. data shows receiving 1	nt sessions, ns and essions ity to the tal Individual s students	Classroom observation matrixes demonstrate a correlation between teachers not using robust practices learned in PD with smaller academic gains than their peers.	

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

	intervention making positive gains.		intervention making positive gains.	
Data on ineffective practices (reference previous chart)	Shortages in qualified candidates did not allow the school to secure a second interventionist, resulting in students receiving less 1:1 intervention instruction.	The interventionist that was identified and hired has provided dedicated support for students and coaching for classroom instructors.	Shortages in qualified candidates did not allow the school to secure a second interventionist, resulting in students receiving less 1:1 intervention instruction.	The interventionist that was identified and hired has provided dedicated support for students and coaching for classroom instructors.
Equity and performance gaps instruction. English Learners and Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers.		Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged students did not make equitable gains when compared to EL students or students with disabilities.	English Learners and Students with disabilities demonstrated gains that outpaced their non-UPP peers.	Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged students did not make equitable gains when compared to EL students or students with disabilities.

9. Based on the reassessment of the needs, identify the action items and metrics described in the literacy action plan for each eligible participating site to more effectively address the areas described in the literacy action plan. [SB98 Sec113 (b)(e3A-D)(e2A-B)(e3A-E)(e4A-E)]

	2021–2022	Original Action Item(s)	Adjusted Action Item(s)	Justification for the Changes	Goal and Tools for Assessment Towards Achievement of the Goal
		3.1a: By September 2021, hire an interventionist/	3.1a: No change	A new school year.	The goal is to increase effective

	[p ₁	04104 (0000	Ι	I
Access to high-quality instruction	literacy coach to work with students in grades K–3, focusing specifically on foundational reading skills using the SIPPS curriculum, 3.1d: By August 2021, the school will create a professional development calendar that reflects intensive training regarding the implementation of ELA/ELD supplemental curriculum and the use of data to support effective instruction.	3.1d: By Augst 2022, create a professional development calendar for the 22-23 school year that reflects intensive training regarding the implementation of ELA/ELD supplemental curriculum and the use of data to support effective instruction.		Literacy and ELD instruction. The school will use observations, teacher evaluations, staff surveys and student achievement data to evaluate progress toward the goal.
Support for literacy learning	3.2a: By July 2021, purchase supplemental curriculum and provide initial and ongoing training of the curriculum throughout the implementation year. By August 2021, develop a monitoring plan to include data collection to assess its effectiveness.	3.2a: By August 2022, purchase continued supplemental curriculum materials and continued training of the curriculum throughout the 22-23 school year. The school will continue the monitoring plan	A new school year.	The goal is continued instructional fidelity to the supplemental curriculum, increased student achievement and the narrowing of the gap caused by learning loss. It will be measure by teacher observations/ evaluations, NWEA/MAP data, DIBELS data, STAR

Implementation Year 1: 2021–22

(REV. 04/2022)

		throughout the life of the grant.		data, and CAASPP data.
Pupil supports	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable
Family supports	No action	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable

NOTE: At the end of the second year of grant eligibility, a LEA with an eligible school shall, as a nonconsent agenda item at a regularly scheduled, publicly noticed meeting of its governing board or body, provide an update on progress implementing the literacy action plan. The LEA may modify the literacy action plan based on this update, consistent with the authorized uses of the grant funds. [SB98 Sec113 (f6)]